## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/582,435      | CRUZ, RENE L. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |
| Man Phan        | 2475          |  |

|   | Man Phan  | 2475   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance<br>FR 1.114. The reply must be filed             | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE       | g date of the final rejectio                               | n.                                       |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi<br>than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of the compa</li></ol> | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or   |   | ducing or simplifying th                                   | ne issues for                            |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>   |   | mpliant Amendment (F                                       | PTOL-324).                               |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |   | timely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                         |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | l be entered and an ex                                     | xplanation of                            |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fails                                  | s to provide a                           |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attache                                   | ed.                                      |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>see attached sheet.</li> </ol>   | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowand                                     | ce because:                              |  |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)<br>13. ☐ Other:  |   |  |  |  |
|   | /Man Phan/  |  |  |  |
|   | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2475   |  |  |